

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,290	03/01/2004	Robert Frink	9518		
7590 05/15/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
James B. Eagle			HEWITT, JAMES M		
Eagle & Eagle Suite 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
224 18th Street			3679		
Rock Island, IL 61201			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Communication	10/790,290	FRINK, ROBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James M. Hewitt	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 M	arch 2004					
, ,	_ _					
<i>'</i> =	, 					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the \square	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (i 10-102)				
	-					

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 3/1/04 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because it does include a column that provides a space next to each document to be considered for the examiner's initials. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any resubmission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes the implied phrase "The present invention is". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). The following does not find proper antecedent basis in the specification: the nozzle inlet and outlet.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,290

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Objections

Page 3

Claims 1-7 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 2, "A" should be "a".

In claim 1, line 3, "A" should be "a".

In claim 1, line 4, "A" should be "a".

In claim 1, line 5, "Said" should be "said".

In claim 1, line 8, "A" should be "a".

In claim 2, line 1, "one" should be "1".

In claim 2, line 3, "A" should be "a".

In claim 2, line 4, "An" should be "an".

In claim 2, line 4, it is unclear as to whether the exhaust pipe is part of the connector.

In claim 3, line 1, "one" should be "1".

In claim 4, line 1, "two" should be "2".

In claim 4, line 2, "suspended" should be inserted before "pipe".

In claim 4, line 2, "in" should be "is".

In claim 5, line 1, "one" should be "1".

In claim 6, line 1, "two" should be "2".

In claim 6, line 2, "suspended" should be inserted before "pipe".

In claim 7, line 2, "A" should be "a".

In claim 7, line 3, "An" should be "an".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rich (US 4,779,904).

With respect to claim 7, Rich discloses a vehicle exhaust system hose and coupling assembly comprising: a snap ring (22); and an exhaust pipe adapter (10) connected to an exhaust pipe (12 or 14), said exhaust pipe adapter containing a seat (36) sized to accommodate said snap ring.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zima et al (US 5,453,018) in view of Nordin (US 5,518,447).

Application/Control Number: 10/790,290

Art Unit: 3679

Zima et al disclose a vehicle exhaust system hose and coupling assembly comprising: a suspended pipe (upper elbow connected to duct-work 22 as shown in Fig. 2); a flexible hose (42) having a first end (42.1) and a second end (42.3); a nozzle (62) having an inlet and an outlet and a connector; said flexible hose first end being connected to said pipe (via 40) and said flexible hose second end being connected to said nozzle outlet (see Fig. 3). Zima et al fails to teach a tether inside said flexible hose whereby one end of said tether is connected to said pipe and the other end of said tether is connected to said nozzle. Zima et al rather employs a spring reel assembly for retraction of the hose and coupling assembly. Nordin teaches a similar vechicle exhaust system hose and coupling assembly employing a tether extending inside of the flexible hose and connected to an upper pipe coupling (16) at one end and to a coupling nozzle at the other end. The tether is used for retraction of the hose and coupling assembly. In view of Nordin's teaching, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Zima et al to include a tether extending inside of the flexible hose (42) and connected to the upper pipe at one end and the coupling nozzle (62) at the other end in order to provide an alternative means by which to retract the hose and coupling assembly.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3679

Claims 2, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zima et al (US 5,453,018) in view of Nordin (US 5,518,447), and further in view of Mommsen (US 3,695,646).

With respect to claim 2, 4 and 6, Zima et al in view of Nordin fail to teach that said connector is a snap ring connected to said nozzle. Zima et al employs a garter spring seated in a groove of the nozzle that acts to retain an exhaust pipe adapter (60) connected to an exhaust pipe (54), said exhaust pipe adapter containing a seat (60.1) sized to accommodate said snap ring. Mommsen teaches a similar coupling between vehicle exhaust components wherein a snap ring (30) is used to removably retain the ball portion (22) of one pipe within another pipe. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Zima et al to employ a snap ring assembly as taught by Mommsen in order to provide an alternative means by which to removably retain Zima et al's exhaust pipe adapter.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Hewitt whose telephone number is 571-272-7084.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,290 Page 7

Art Unit: 3679

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Stodola can be reached on 571-272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAMES M. HEWITT
PRIMARY EXAMINER